## CIVIL DOCKET

## BARROW

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## Jury demand date:

TITLE OF CASE	ATTORNEYS
Can-Do Products, Ltd., vs Crane Sales, Inc., and Acme Products Incorporated.	For plaintiff:  James R. Head 584-4187 424 Beacon Building Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
	For defendant: William S. Dorman 1216 National Bank of Tulsa Bldg.,
	Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103  Earl Hightower 615 So. Flower St., Suite 2108 Los Angeles, Calif. 90017

STATISTICAL RECORD	COSTS		<b>DATE</b> 1969	name or receipt no.	REC.		DISB.	
J.S. 5 mailed 4-1-69	Clerk		3-25 3-37	Head C/D 41	15	00	15 00	
J.S. 6 mailed 10-1-69	Marshal							
Basis of Action: Patent Infringment, Acctg. &	Docket fee		And the second s					
Inj.	Witness fees		And the second s					
Action arose at: Tulsa, Oklahoma	Depositions		And the state of t					

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Noted
3-25-69	Complaint, filed. x summons issued x b	
3-25-69	Notice of suit mailed to Commissioner of Patents. b	A-van
<b>3-</b> 27 <b>-</b> 69	Defendants' First Interrogatories to Plaintiff, filed. (Ltr. mailed)	h
4-1-69	Defendants' Request for Admissions under Rule 36, filed. g	11
4-3-69	Return on service of writ, filed: served Crane Sales, Inc. and Acme	
4-3-09	Products Treemporation by serving Housest E. Standfield Products	
	Products Incorporation, by serving Howard E. Standfield, Pres., at	
11 2 60	Tulsa, Oklahoma on 3-26-69. (USM \$4.68)g	
4-3-69	Return on service of writ, filed: served Acme Products, Inc. by	
	serving the Corp. Company at Oklahoma City, Okla. on 3-27-69.	Section 1997
	(USM \$4.00)g	
4-4-69	Stipulation of parties that defendants be granted 15 days additional	
	time from May 7, 1969 within which to answer, filed. (Ordered and	
24.	approved. (Allen E. Barrow - Judge)) copies mailed. b	
4-10-69	Response to request for admission - denials and admissions, filed.	<b>r</b>
4-10-69	Objections to request for admission, filed. g	
4-10-69	Notice of hearing of objections to request for admissions, filed. g	
4-11-69	Brief in support of plaintiff's objections to request for admis-	***************************************
	sions, filed. g	
4-29-69	Defendants' brief in response to plaintiff's objections to request	
, -,	for admission, filed. b	
5-6-69	Plaintiff's Reply Brief in response to defendants' brief in respons	
	to plaintiff's objections to request for admissions, filed. ds	
5-8-69	Stipulation re: Plaintiff's answers to defendants' first interroga-	
	tories to plaintiff and defendants! answer to complaint, filed,	
	with order approving stipulation. (AEB-J)m @copies mailed counsel)	
6-9-69	Plaintiff's Answers to Defendants' first interrogatories, filed. ds	
6-19-69	Defendant s'Answer, filed. ds	
7-22-69	Depositions, filed, of witnesses Adrian L. Creurer, John A. Boulang	er
	and Nicholas J. Kingsep, on behalf of defendants. (\$126.00). js	
7-22-69	Ordered by the Court that plaintiff's objections to request for	
	admission is sustained; that the defendants submit interrogatories	
	to plaintiff and it is granted 15 days to answer the inerrogatories	•
	(Allen E. Barrow - Judge) m/b LM-CSR	
7-22-69	Defendants; second interrogatories to plaintiff, filed. ds	
7-24-69	Plaintiff's first interrogatories to the defendants, filed. ds	
7-24-69	Order Re: Plaintiff's Objections to Request For Admission, filed,	
1-24-09	as to #2,4, & 6, hereby sustained and plaintiff have 15 days to	
•	answer interrogatories. (AEB-J). js	
8-7-69	Ordered that pltf. is granted an extension of time to 9-2-69 for	
0-1-09	objecting or answering defts' interrogatories. (AEB-J)m	
8-22-69		
9-15-69		
	that each party shall bear own costs and attorneys fees and parties	
i	are left to their settlement agreement. (AEB-J)m (copies mailed)	